### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) )
	) No. 4:16-CV-00180 CDP
CITY OF FERGUSON, MISSOURI,	)
Defendant.	) )

#### STATUS HEARING

# BEFORE THE HONORABLE CATHERINE D. PERRY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

OCTOBER 29, 2024

APPEARANCES:

Independent Monitor: Natashia Tidwell, Esq.

For Plaintiff: Charles W. Hart, Esq.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

For Defendant: Aarnarian (Apollo) D. Carey, Esq.

Daniel Carter, Esq.

LEWIS RICE LLC

Also Present: Christopher Crabel

Consent Decree Coordinator

Reported By: SHANNON L. WHITE, RMR, CRR, CSR, CCR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

111 South Tenth Street, Third Floor

St. Louis, MO 63102

(314) 244-7966

PRODUCED BY COURT REPORTER COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

### INDEX

## STATEMENTS BY PARTIES:

Statement by	Mr. Carey	5
Statement by	Mr. Crabel	7
Statement by	Mr. Hart	11
Statement by	Ms. Tidwell	18
Statement in	Response by Mr. Carey	31
Statement by	The Court	33

#### (PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 10:02 AM.)

1.3

(ALL PARTICIPANTS ARE REMINDED OF THE PROHIBITIONS REGARDING PHOTOGRAPHING, RECORDING, AND BROADCASTING OF COURT PROCEEDINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 13.02. PARTICIPANTS WHO VIOLATE THE RULE MAY FACE SANCTIONS UP TO AND INCLUDING DENIAL OF ENTRY TO FUTURE HEARINGS, OR ANY OTHER SANCTIONS DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE JUDICIAL OFFICER.)

THE COURT: Good morning. We are here in the case of the United States of America versus Ferguson, Missouri. This is Case No. 4:16-CV-180, and we are here for a quarterly status hearing.

I appreciate seeing all the people who are here. And I'll start by asking the counsel to introduce themselves, and then, when we get to the City, I'll have you introduce whoever you have with you, Mr. Carey.

So would counsel for the United States please identify yourself for the record.

MR. HART: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.

Charles Hart for the United States.

THE COURT: All right. And would counsel for the -- let me start with the Monitor because he's got a longer list.

Counsel for the Monitor, please identify yourself.

MS. TIDWELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Natasha Tidwell on behalf of the Monitoring Team.

THE COURT: And I called you counsel for the Monitor.

You are counsel, but you're also the Monitor. All right. 1 2 For the City? 3 MR. CAREY: Good morning, Judge. Apollo Carey for the City of Ferguson. 4 5 MR. CARTER: Daniel Carter for the City of Ferguson. THE COURT: All right. 6 7 MR. CAREY: We also have Chris Crabel, who is our 8 Consent Decree Coordinator, sitting with us. 9 THE COURT: And do you want to introduce other people 10 who are here from the City? MR. CAREY: Oh, I'm happy to do it. Normally I'd do 11 12 that at the podium. I'm happy to do that. THE COURT: Well, you can do that when you start 13 14 talking, if you want. 15 MR. CAREY: Okay. 16 That way, if anybody comes in late, you THE COURT: 17 can add them to the list. 18 MR. CAREY: Okay. You got it. 19 THE COURT: That's fine. All right. 20 So, you know, there have been some things that have 21 happened. I'm sure that members of the public who are here, 22 or certainly the City officials, know that the City did file a 23 status report at the beginning of this month.

And, as usual, I have been talking to the lawyers, and to the extent Mr. Crabel and others, on our monthly status

24

25

call that I do with the lawyers and the Monitor.

oh, I always ask the City to start, don't I? You know, I ask them this in every phone call when we're talking about the meeting. I say, okay, now, wait. Do we start with City, or we start with the department? Sorry, Mr. Carey. I'll now call on Mr. Carey to tell us what the City's got going on, and then you can introduce the people as well.

MR. CAREY: Thank you, Your Honor. Yeah. You know, I was wondering. I was like, okay, was there some agreement that I wasn't aware of?

THE COURT: No, there's not. The agreement is we start with you because you have the most to report, and that's always a good thing.

MR. CAREY: Okay. And we're happy to do that, Your Honor. So I will go ahead, and I'll start just by introducing the folks in the courtroom. We also have some folks that are listening in who are worth mentioning as well. And then I'll let Mr. Crabel come and be more detailed.

I mean, you did mention that we did turn in our status report earlier this month.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. CAREY: The Monitor has also turned in her quarterly report for the quarter. And so between those two things, we have a lot of detail to give to you, but I won't

steal Mr. Crabel's thunder. I'll allow him to do that.

1.3

THE COURT: Okay. Before you do, let me -- I'm glad you mentioned the people listening in, because I am always supposed to make this statement, although I know the clerk already did.

For people listening in, please understand that under the National Rules of Federal Courts, all broadcasting or recording of this proceeding is not allowed and you could be held in contempt of court or be barred from future hearings if you violate that rule. So that's the rule. I appreciate everyone complying with it.

MR. CAREY: Sure. And while we're on the topic of folks listening, I'll introduce those folks first. It's virtually -- actually, it's our entire council. It's councilwoman Heather Robinett, Linda Lipka, and Naquittia Noah. And then we also have councilmen Nick Kasoff, Mike Palmer, and David Williams, who are all listening in remotely.

And, of course, we have our mayor, Ms. Ella Jones, who is here in person. And then also in the courtroom we have Harry Dilworth, and Chief Doyle is here. Lisa Stephens is sitting -- seated behind them. And I think Michelle Richmond is in the back. And then that's all I recognize. I'm not quite sure. I see some other people sitting with us. I'm not quite sure who they are.

But also, you know, we have various members of our

committees, NPSC and Training Committee, and those folks who are also seated directly behind the Monitor.

1.3

So without further ado, I'll let Mr. Crabel come up and give you more detail, unless you had questions of me.

THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead, Mr. Crabel.

MR. CRABEL: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm here to provide the Court with an update on the City of Ferguson's progress under the Consent Decree. I'm pleased to report that our police department is currently about 80 percent staffed. We have two officers graduating from the academy in December and three more starting the academy in the beginning of January as of now.

Additionally, there's two POST-certified officers in background, and we are hopeful that they will be joining the team soon, assuming everything goes smoothly with the background.

I'm going to move on to major plans and policy updates. Regarding the City's major plans, we have the training plan. The City completed the training plan, and it has been approved by the DOJ and Monitor. As new trainings are developed, this plan will continue to evolve. It's kind of ever changing, and we'll add things to the calendars as plans come along.

For the Community Engagement and Policing Plan, this plan has been submitted to the Monitor. Once we receive

feedback, we'll proceed with making those adjustments and then implementation.

1.3

The Crime Prevention Plan. The Crime Prevention Plan has been returned by the Monitor with feedback. So the City is actively working to make updates based on the recommendations provided.

The staffing plan and salary study. So we have received feedback from the DOJ on both the staffing plan and salary study. It's not complete feedback but initial questions. And the City is working to address those questions and concerns raised by the DOJ, and we'll hope to get that back to them soon.

Additionally, the City has filed the status report, as you mentioned, to the Court to provide an update on our standing.

Looking at training updates. So the City has made substantial progress in rolling out key trainings. We have successfully completed a roll-call training -- two roll-call trainings at end of July. So it was the First Amendment training and then the protest and demonstration training.

We have two additional roll-call trainings -- the correctable, fix-it violations and the strip and body cavity search training that have been approved and will be implemented.

The City has also submitted several important

policies to the DOJ for review, including the drone policy, the PTO policy, the GPS policy, the Flock camera policy.

Additionally, the TASER X policy is ready for public comment and will be posted on the City's website in early November.

1.3

I'm giving a shout-out to Pat Washington, who has been working closely with NPSC, or the Neighborhood Police Steering Committee, to finalize the Neighborhood Police recommendation policy. That was submitted to the DOJ yesterday.

The crisis intervention training, or the CIT training. The City has continued to make progress on the CIT program. We have identified officers in three of our four squads to be CIT trained. We are working to find an officer in our remaining squad.

But as our department is younger and the qualifications required for CIT specialization presents some challenges, FPD is committed to working with the DOJ to address those challenges as it relates to filling the training requirements for the Consent Decree and the CIT.

During the recent visit with the DOJ, we discussed some concerns in regards to the CIT, and we're working to build crisis training around some of those concerns, or bulk up our training around some of those concerns.

I'm moving on to audits. As we continue to work
with -- we are continuing to work with the Monitor on two of

the audits. And I had mentioned them at the last hearing.

That's the use of force audit and the accountability audit.

Both are in progress, and we are expecting a third audit to begin soon, which would be the body-worn camera audit. I think I mentioned that at the last status hearing as well, but

we are expecting that one to be coming soon.

1.3

And we are working on the court self-audit process.

I know that was also mentioned at the last status hearing, but we are making headway. We've had an in-person meeting with the DOJ, and we've had a couple follow-up calls as well. In about two weeks, we're going to have another follow-up call to keep furthering and advancing on the progress.

And then the last thing I will talk about today is citizen engagement. I want to just say thank you to our citizen volunteers who have been working with us. You know, the CRB, the Neighborhood Police Steering Committee, and the Training Committee have dedicated a lot of time since the beginning of the Consent Decree, but also recently with everything we've been working through, they've been putting a lot more time and effort in -- maybe not more effort; they've always been putting effort in -- but a lot more time in recently to help us meet our deadlines and target dates.

So I just want to say we appreciate them, and thank you for all their work.

THE COURT: Yeah. You have had more -- you've had a

lot going on, I think. So that's good. I appreciate their work too because I know it's really vital.

MR. CRABEL: They've been doing a lot for us; so I just want to say thank you.

And then we just remain deeply committed to fulfilling the requirements of the Consent Decree. And with support of counsel, our staff, the Court, and the DOJ, we just are happy to keep progressing and meet the obligations of the Consent Decree.

That's it.

1.3

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

I neglected to mention earlier that there were a couple of comments letters that were sent to the Monitor, and they've sent those on to me, and I have reviewed those, just so -- I know the people who wrote them are here, and so I wanted them to know that we've reviewed them.

All right. Go ahead. Anything further at this moment, Mr. Carey?

MR. CAREY: The City rests, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, it's not that formal a hearing. That's what we say in trial.

All right. Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: Thank you.

Your Honor, first, we want to -- good morning. And also I want to say good morning to all of the City officials

who are here and the officials from the

1.3

Ferguson Police Department, folks who are online, and members of the community who are here today.

So I want to echo the comments that Mr. Crabel just said about the progress from between the last court hearing and today. This has been one of the most productive periods in the life of the Consent Decree. And that is in no small part because of the efforts of a lot of people in this room -- Chief Doyle, Chief Hampton, Lisa Stephens, Pat Washington, Captain Dilworth -- Pat Washington is not in this room -- but all of those people are part of this team now that seems to be working together productively.

And I think that -- and also Michelle Richmond -- I neglected to mention Michelle Richmond; she is here -- working on the municipal court provisions.

So I think that one of the things that we -- or I wanted to kind of point out is there have been a lot of challenges over the years that have kind of gotten in the way of productivity from the police department and the City, but I wanted to kind of point out what are some of the reasons we think are for the progress during this last period.

Your Honor, I think that I tried to boil it down to three things. One is there was an emphasis on timelines and transparency during this last period. Ferguson and the Ferguson Police Department managed to fill the positions with

people who are very eager to do the work, and they have been very productive and tasked with clear roles and clear responsibilities. I know it took a while to fill some of those positions, but I think that was also critical.

1.3

And the third thing was there is a clear vision from leadership that was communicated to the people in those roles about what needed to be accomplished.

First, on timelines and transparency, I know that

Mr. Crabel had mentioned -- and Mr. Carey also mentioned -
that the City filed its status report, which is very helpful.

Pursuant to paragraph 456, there's a requirement for a

semi-annual report. And it's important to file those reports

and take account of where the City is, as far as the

implementation, because that is what allows the organization,

or the City and the department, to map its path from Point A

to Point B.

And along the lines with -- so we know that the City is currently developing a tracker to increase transparency so that the City internally but also externally people in the community know the status of all of these different provisions in the Consent Decree. And we think that it's very important to complete that kind of internal assessment of where the City is with regard to those provisions so that it can maybe create an even more effective map to compliance in the coming months.

And we think that it would be especially helpful if

the City and the police department laid out a road map for 2025 as far as the sequence of events and the tasks that need to be accomplished and who's going to accomplish those tasks to allow for more transparency and accountability in that compliance process.

1.3

So, secondly, I had mentioned that the City put the right people in place. And I think that there have been good people in place before like during the course of the implementation. I know Captain Dilworth used to wear, or maybe still does, like, a lot of hats. I kind of stopped counting the many hats that Captain Dilworth wore.

So now there was kind of a spread. And people -Lisa Stephens has been doing a wonderful job with making sure
that the training implementation process has been moving
forward fairly quickly. And there are a number of trainings
that are kind of in the works that need to be developed, and I
won't detail those here, but having her in place, along with
Captain Dilworth, really helped to move the training
provisions forward.

Pat Washington -- that was a position that was created, not required by the Consent Decree like the Training Coordinator, but created by Chief Hampton and Chief Doyle for -- because they saw a need for it. And Pat Washington has been critical in engaging the community and getting feedback on items like the Community Policing and Engagement Plan and

the Crime Prevention Plan that require community input, and she's been moving the ball forward there.

1.3

So just wanted to emphasize that having the right people, having people in place and fulfilling those roles or responsibilities or positions, is critical to the City's continued success.

And, you know, we're in a period now where that's -I'm not going to say it took a long time to get here, but
there have been moments in the past where there was not that
kind of matchup between, you know, having responsibilities
distributed to -- you know, effectively distributed across
different positions and people in those roles who really were
producing good work and being timely.

The last thing that I mentioned was when leadership communicates a clear vision to the people producing the work, that also enables folks fulling those responsibilities to be more accountable for their tasks. And I think that that's been -- that's something that Chief Doyle, I think, has been effective at doing internally. And I think that it's also something that is to the leadership and the higher levels within the department and the people who are assigned to Consent Decree compliance tasks.

We also think that that communication of a vision and the expectations from leadership is important for community policing in general and fulfilling the responsibilities of all

of the provisions of the agreement from the Chief all the way to the line officer. And that is an area where we think the Community Policing Policy, the Community Policing and Engagement Plan, and the Crime Prevention Plan kind of lay out an essential road map and principles for what needs to be communicated to line deputies. I mean -- sorry, Your Honor -- line officers.

1.3

So those three things we think -- the vision, the timeline, the roles -- were critical to the success in this last period.

Now, FPD has some exemplary officers and supervisors, and the department is lucky to have them and Lisa Stephens and Pat Washington, among others, and I think they recognize that. And there are also some officers who sometimes struggle to engage the public in a way that is consistent with FPD policies, the Consent Decree, and the Chief's expectations. That's why we think it's important to communicate that vision effectively, you know, throughout the department.

Now, the policies do that, training does that, but some other things that are required in order to create clear expectations are proper supervision and a very thorough accountability system.

Now, Ms. Tidwell is currently undergoing an audit of the accountability -- an accountability audit. And I think a notice will soon be released for the body-worn camera audit.

And those are two things -- the body-worn camera requirements and the accountability requirements will be important to creating clear expectations for the officers within the department.

1.3

There are a number of Consent Decree requirements that specifically focus on supervision, promotions, and accountability. And in the next few months, those are areas where, in addition to the training requirements and the policy requirements that are still outstanding, DOJ is going to be focusing on supervision, promotions, and accountability and would like for the City and FPD to also kind of outline their vision for how they will fulfill or implement the provisions of the agreement that relate to supervision, promotions, and accountability.

Your Honor, I think that I mentioned before that this is our -- we're in our tenth year of engagement here, and we saw some progress in this last quarter that we would like to see replicated. And we think having those clear timelines and FPD and the City having a kind of a clear plan for how they're going to implement these provisions would really help to build on this momentum and not let it falter because we have seen that sometimes, you know, if a person leaves or who's in a key role, Chief or otherwise, there's been kind of a stop in progress, you know, if not a fallback.

So we want to emphasize that we want the City -- we

would like for the City and FPD to continue building on this momentum.

I think that's all that we have to say, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hart.

And as I mentioned when Mr. Crabel was talking, people have been very busy. I think what Mr. Hart's just described about a lot of progress being made in the last three months, I think has been -- I've seen that too in our conversations and hearing the updates.

Ms. Tidwell?

1.3

MS. TIDWELL: Thank you, Judge.

So once again the parties have covered most of what I was going to say; so I will try not to retread old ground.

But good morning. I want to thank the members of the community who continue to engage meaningfully in this process, especially those who took time to submit written comments to the Court in advance of today's hearing -- Cassandra Butler and Alan Mueller, who are both here today.

The Monitor's semi-annual status report Mr. Carey mentioned has been reviewed and improved by the parties along with a report of the initial phase of the Monitoring Team's use of force audit. I anticipate that those reports will be filed sometime either this afternoon or tomorrow morning, but I will provide --

THE COURT: Yeah. I was going to say, when he said you've turned it in, I was looking at the docket sheet, thinking, wait, I've missed it. There it is. Yeah. So I thought that that was what he was going to say. Thank you.

1.3

MS. TIDWELL: We got sign-off on the use of force audit report last night; so now we'll finalize and package everything together.

THE COURT: So when -- obviously, you'll put those up on your website, and then we'll -- once they are filed, we'll put them on the court's website as well once you've docketed them.

MS. TIDWELL: Great. And as we've done in the past and as we did when we filed the last report, we'll schedule a virtual town hall meeting to go over the report with the community and answer any questions. We're going to give folks a little bit of time to digest it first, and we'll coordinate with Mr. Crabel around if there are other community events scheduled so that we don't have any conflict when we schedule the town hall.

I want to thank the parties for reviewing and commenting on the draft report on such an expedited timeline so that it could be filed close in time to this hearing. We also appreciate the parties' assistance in the information-gathering process, the City's own status report which was filed earlier this month, along with reports from

DOJ detailing -- both of them detailing progress in certain key areas. We appreciate that greatly. It makes our job a lot smoother and a lot easier. So thank you to the parties for that.

1.3

We appreciate the City's efforts to foster and maintain transparency through the filing of their reports, and we look forward to seeing more of those from them in the future. So thank you for that.

Our report -- you know, after reporting on numerous disruptive transitions over the past couple of years, we were pleased to report that the City, FPD, and the municipal court have experienced and benefited from a period of sustained consistency this past year, as Mr. Hart mentioned and as you, Your Honor, mentioned also. The City has made meaningful progress toward completion of a number of comprehensive plans that will serve as the foundation for Consent Decree implementation.

We want to recognize and thank Mr. Crabel,
Ms. Stephens, and Ms. Washington for their efforts as well as
Chief Doyle and Chief Hampton for having the foresight and
vision to assemble these key pieces and for their ongoing
leadership.

As in the past, the status report, the Monitor's report, contains a chart detailing the status of implementation of the Consent Decree's key areas along four

milestones: Policy development, roll-call training, in-service training, and assessment or auditing.

1.3

Currently all policy areas, except for those related specifically to the role of supervisors, are either completed, near completion, or in progress.

As to the supervisor-related policies, as I'll discuss a little bit in detail in a bit, the use of force audit revealed some lapses in FPD's supervisory responses to -- responses to an investigation of use-of-force incidents.

To use a well-worn police saying, "first-line supervisors are where the rubber meets the road" in every aspect of policing, and the same holds true for Consent Decree implementation. As Mr. Hart suggested in his remarks, this is a critical area. He and the Department of Justice have suggested convening a kickoff, sort of round-table working group to talk through the best approach for policy development in identification of gaps in this area. The Monitoring Team supports that idea.

I plan to ask our subject matter experts across areas to join in that as there is not an area of the Consent Decree that doesn't rely on supervisors for implementation. So we look forward to working with the parties to hopefully enhance the role of supervisors and performance across key areas.

The second milestone in Consent Decree implementation is roll-call training, which, as many know, typically mirrors

and tracks policy developments. So as a policy is finalized, the brief overview at roll call happens, and the policy is put into practice. The more robust and substantive training, or roll-call training, is the third stage of implementation. It has been completed in one area by --

THE COURT: You're talking about in-service training.

MS. TIDWELL: Say again. I'm sorry?

THE COURT: In-service training.

1.3

MS. TIDWELL: I'm sorry. In-service training. Thank you, Your Honor.

The third stage is in-service training, which has been completed in one area, bias-free policing, but a few other areas are currently in the process of being developed, including the body-worn and in-car camera, in-service training, which the Monitoring Team is reviewing and hopes to have its feedback to the parties sometime next week.

And, finally, the last stage of implementation, assessment and auditing by the Monitoring Team. Although in-service training in the use of force and body-worn cameras are still in development, the Monitoring Team and the parties determined that it was appropriate to audit in these areas because FPD has essentially been operating under these policies for several years. So they were ripe for auditing, and we are working on audits in both of those areas.

Just a few notes on some of the key areas of the

Consent Decree. Community Policing and Engagement. As the parties mentioned, during the reporting period the Monitoring Team completed its review of the City's Crime Prevention Plan. While the plan did a great job of detailing the role of FPD's internal operations in implementing the City's crime prevention strategy, we thought that there could be a stronger articulation of the City's plan to keep the community informed and engaged in the plan's implementation. The City, via Ms. Washington, was very receptive to our feedback, and we look forward to reviewing the updated plan.

1.3

Earlier this month the City provided an updated version of its Community Policing and Engagement Plan, as Mr. Crabel mentioned. While this recent iteration of the plan remains under review by Dr. Leigh Anderson, who leads the Monitoring Team's efforts in this area, her initial analysis, according to her, has demonstrated that the City is making positive, incremental progress on this plan.

It is clear that the City is emphasizing increased and documented collaboration with the community. It is promising that these efforts will aim to rebuild and also maintain trust between FPD and the community while also addressing some of the systematic issues that were highlighted by the Consent Decree.

So overall, these current efforts reflect a strong commitment to enhancing public safety and improving on

relationships. And as I said, Dr. Anderson will continue her review, complete her review, and we'll get the full feedback to the City soon.

1.3

Body-worn an in-car cameras. The City has completed development of the suite of policies in this area. As I mentioned, DOJ has approved the in-service training, and Dan Gomez and Roger Nunez, the Monitoring Team's subject matter consultants in this area, are finalizing their review and will have their substantive feedback later.

The Monitoring Team is planning to conduct an audit, to kick off the audit in this area this year. We hope that the draft notice will be out to the parties next week. And then once the parties have had an opportunity to review the notice and offer any comments or suggestions, we will formally begin the audit with Mr. Gomez and Mr. Nunez.

And accountability is another area of auditing. In the spring the Monitoring Team initiated its audit of the City's compliance with the accountability and civilian oversight provisions of the Consent Decree. This audit will be overseen by the Monitoring Team's newest addition, Julio Thompson, who has met with members of the CRB, as well as Mr. Crabel, to help develop a deeper understanding of the complaint investigation process.

He will develop and fine-tune the Monitoring Team's methodology for its review of FPD's internal investigations.

Specifically, he will create a rubric similar to the one we use for our review of use-of-force incidents to ensure that the review of individual cases is standardized and consistent. And once that rubric is completed, we will circulate it to the parties for review and approval. Mr. Thompson will then complete the audit, and we will report on his findings and the team's findings as soon as it's done.

1.3

And then, lastly, in use of force, the status report contains the initial findings of the Monitoring Team's second audit of the City's compliance with the Consent Decree requirements relating to use-of-force reporting and investigation. That audit was led by Darryl Owens, who is the Monitoring Team's subject matter consultant in that area.

Recognizing the importance of thorough investigations of reported force, as well as accurate reporting of all uses of force, the Monitoring Team intended that the audit be conducted in two phases.

In the audit's initial phase, which we just completed, we assessed the timeliness, thoroughness, and accuracy of FPD's use-of-force reports and the quality of its investigations, as required by the Consent Decree and FPD policy.

In 2022 and 2023, FPD reported 30 use-of-force incidents. Three zero. Each was assigned to one of three categories -- Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3 -- depending on the

type of force that was used, with Type 1 being the most severe.

1.3

In consultation with the parties, again, as I mentioned, we have a rubric that Mr. Owens uses for his review that has a bunch of categories that he uses to assess the reporting and the use of force itself. These categories include documentation, de-escalation, force response, force reporting, and force investigation.

The Monitoring Team requested reports, body-worn and in-car camera footage and other materials related to these 30 incidents. And each incident was assigned a satisfactory or unsatisfactory rating based on the Monitoring Team's assessment of all categories.

As the audit progressed, Mr. Owens observed that while FPD's use-of-force practices were generally reasonable and consistent with applicable legal and constitutional standards, there were concerning lapses in FPD's reporting and investigation of force incidents.

So to better illustrate sort of this dichotomy, the Monitoring Team divided its review into two separate areas:

One, whether FPD officers used force in an objectively reasonable manner and then, two, whether FPD's reporting and investigation of the force comported with the existing policy and the Consent Decree. And I will discuss each of those sort of separately.

So when evaluating solely to determine whether force was objectively reasonable and proportional, the Monitoring Team assigned a satisfactory rating to 25 of the 30 reports reviewed, 83 percent. The Monitoring Team generally observed that in these encounters FPD officers used force that was necessary, legal, and consistent with training, policy, and the Consent Decree.

1.3

Additional observations from the satisfactory reports or investigations included that the level of force employed by FPD officers was generally objectively reasonable and proportional to the level of resistance and that in most instances, where feasible to do so, FPD officers made efforts to de-escalate, stabilize, or slow down the incident. There's additional detail about the cases rated satisfactory in the report.

The remaining 5 of the 30 incidents reviewed were assigned an unsatisfactory rating. In the Monitoring Team's view, FPD's use of force in these cases was either objectively unreasonable, lacking appropriate de-escalation, or disproportional. These incidents are also discussed in slightly more detail in the audit report.

The second sort of piece that we carved this phase of the audit into was an assessment of the reporting and investigation of FPD's use of force. Through that lens, the Monitoring Team observed what appeared to be a systemic

failure in FPD's categorization of force incidents by type and a troubling absence of accountability where it appears that officers used force in violation of applicable policy or the Consent Decree.

1.3

At the conclusion of the first audit last year in this area, we noted that the quality of FPD's force reporting and investigation appeared to be trending upward due in part to the completed development of policies in the area and the anticipated implementation of new use-of-force reporting software. And while this new software can enhance FPD's ability to track use-of-force incidents and identify potentially problematic patterns, FPD cannot fully harness the technology's capability without better performance from those with primary responsibility for reporting and investigating force incidents. And that's the supervisors.

When assessing FPD's reporting and investigation, the Monitoring Team assigned a satisfactory rating to only 15 of the 30 incidents. In 11 of the unsatisfactory reports or investigations, Type 2 force, which is the second level of force, was categorized and misreported as Type 3 force. These incidents, if correctly reported, would have triggered supervisory investigations.

So for Type 3 incidents no investigation is required under policy and the Consent Decree, but for Type 2 cases force must be investigated by the supervisor. So 11 incidents

were the use of Type 2 force, whether take-downs or the use of electronic control weapons, but they were reported as Type 3 force.

1.3

The results of the Monitoring Team's review of actual force investigations were mixed. In most instances, supervisors avoided the use of pattern language or boilerplate language and did an admirable job of locating and interviewing not only the involved officers but also non-FPD witnesses to the underlying incident.

The resultant reports largely consisted of detailed accounts of the incident itself, the type of force used, officer efforts to de-escalate the situation to avoid or minimize the use of force, and the level of force and resistance encountered.

However, these investigations also included the aforementioned misclassifications and the type of force employed, cursory and delayed investigations, and the absence of accountability for obvious policy violations, particularly in officers' use of electronic control weapons, or TASERs.

This again stands in sharp contrast to the cases reviewed in the first audit in which FPD recommended and implemented remedial measures, including verbal counseling and training, when deficiency in policy violations were identified.

The Monitoring Team previewed its finding for the

parties prior to completion of the report and in anticipation of its filing with the Court. In a subsequent meeting, the DOJ engaged its own subject matter expert to lead an open and productive discussion of the incidents detailed above as well as other issues. The Monitoring Team is heartened by FPD's willingness to accept feedback and by the parties' shared commitment to improving FPD's use-of-force reporting and investigation capabilities so that substantial compliance can be achieved in this critical Consent Decree area.

1.3

Phase Two of the audit. We are officially notifying the City that we are ready to start with Phase Two, which asks that the City provide the number of arrests in -- for a certain number of enumerated offenses that, even though force was reported, based on our experience, force may have been employed in those instances. So we are auditing to determine whether FPD is accurately reporting force when it happens. So we anticipate that we can complete Phase Two of the audit by the end of this year.

So I will conclude there, unless the Court has questions.

THE COURT: It's a lot to report, and I look forward to seeing it in writing. You know, I think that it is -- it is encouraging that the use of force in general was appropriate in terms of the actual use; but, of course, we do need -- for this to work, everybody's got to do the reporting

and know what to investigate and know and do the investigations.

1.3

So but that sounds like it's moving in the right direction. And I hope -- and I also appreciate the City's willingness to work more on this with the TASERs and other things that are obviously issues -- and the reporting, obviously, issues of concern.

Okay. I think that's -- I don't have any other questions for you.

Mr. Carey, do you wish to respond to any of the things that either of the other side has said here, or are you --

MR. CAREY: I do, Your Honor. Not necessarily respond, but just to fill in some gaps.

Just while you were talking, I know we had -- initially we had --

THE COURT: Why don't you walk up to the lectern. It helps me and the court reporter.

MR. CAREY: Of course.

When we first started talking and I was introducing folks in the courtroom, you had heard a lot about -- and you heard Mr. Hart talk about Pat Washington. She walked in while we were here; so I just wanted to make it clear that Pat Washington is actually here.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. CAREY: And to make sure we get that on the record.

1.3

But sort of in sum of what we've been -- what you've heard today is really the progress that you've heard from, you know, the last quarter to this quarter in our reporting has really been led by Chief Doyle. I mean, Chief Doyle is -- you know, from the moment he got here, things just started to really, really improve as it relates to Consent Decree compliance.

And then him putting in place the team with

Lisa Stephens and Pat Washington, and we already had the

workhorse of Captain Dilworth here. Michelle Richmond was

already here.

And we also have the support of our City Manager who is -- I think it can't go understated that we have both a City Manager and a Police Chief who have spent careers in public service, right, and who have spent their lives providing these various different services directly to the citizens who have an understanding for what safety -- you know, an appreciation for safety and an understanding and appreciation for citizens' rights. And those things really, really seem to just sort of come together in the last year or so since Chief Doyle has been with us.

So I really, really just want to give kudos to him and his staff and the folks who continue to sort of push us

forward because without their efforts we just would not have -- you know, you wouldn't have heard such a glowing, glowing report as you've heard today.

1.3

So I just wanted to make sure that was on the record.

THE COURT: Thank you. And I do appreciate the work everyone's done.

I think, you know, right now I'm just sitting here thinking we've got to keep this momentum going, which is hard, I know, when you feel like you've done a lot, but you know that's what we need to do.

And also I wanted to -- I do appreciate what everyone is doing. And I think there's -- I'm encouraged by the things I've heard in the monthly conferences about the various things people are doing to address any of the problems or the holdups that we've had in various ways, and I'm impressed with what's happening today. Sounds like there's more to do, of course. There always is.

I do want to -- and I hope by the next three-month hearing that we'll have, which will be, I guess, in maybe January or end of January or early February, we'll figure that out. I'll talk to the lawyers.

I do want to say something. I do hope this momentum can continue. I think I've said this before. This is a -- we've had more than one presidential election since this has been going on, and I do want to say how much I appreciate

everyone's -- all the parties' continued investment in and support for the Consent Decree.

1.3

I want to remind the public, though, what I think
I've said before. The Consent Decree isn't just an agreement
between two sides. It's my order. I've signed it. It's an
order I have the ability to enforce. I am very confident
that, no matter what happens in the change of administrations,
whatever happens, that the Department of Justice will continue
to be supportive and do what they're doing and, of course,
that the City will too.

And so to the extent anyone worries about that, just remember that you can't just like -- the parties can't just back out of it or change their minds. That's not how it works when you have a court order.

I have another case totally completely unrelated to this one where one of the parties testified or said, argued that, oh, well -- she thought the Consent Decree was just like a contract and, if she broke the contract, the other side would have to take her to court and sue her. She didn't think that she would get in trouble for it. And that's not right. Actually, she knew better for it too, but that was what she said.

So I just want to make sure everyone understands it is my court order as well, and as I know the department understands that.

1	So I appreciate everyone's continued commitment to
2	it, and let's keep up the momentum, because you're in a good
3	place.
4	All right. Thank you all very much. Court's in
5	recess.
6	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 10:50 AM.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Shannon L. White, Registered Merit Reporter and
Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly
appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States
District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 through 36 inclusive and that this reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other than this reporter.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 30th day of October, 2024.

/s/Shannon L White /s/Shannon L. White Shannon L. White, CRR, RMR, CCR, CSR Official Court Reporter